PZ# 23-3 PA

Reasons to Deny Application #23-3 Amending STR Zoning Regulations

Exhibit#17

Eric Treaster 11 May 2023

Deletion of the STR resident-host requirement -

1. Will increase housing costs for permanent residents by reducing the supply homes.

- 2. Will, by reducing the supply of homes, be in conflict with the housing goals in Section IV of the Plan of Conservation and Development (POCD), which encourages more housing.
- 3. Will increase the risks of STR guests engaging in misconduct in residential districts.
- 4. Will discourage prospective residents from renting or purchasing homes for permanent residency in Ledyard due to the risks of STR guest misconduct..
- 4. Will encourage the sale of single-family homes to non-resident STR investment companies.
- 5. Will increase the risks of privacy rights violations, and costly litigation, due to its video and sound monitoring and recording requirements.
- 6. Will not prevent STR guests from disabling the required video and sound recording systems, making it more difficult to identify, arrest, and prosecute the offending parties.
- 7. Will increase the enforcement burden on the Zoning Official and the Ledyard Police.

Other Reasons Application #23-3 should be denied:

- 1. It is impossible for a non-resident STR owner, or his agent, to adequately vet his guests to guarantee they will comply with the regulations.
- 2. It is impossible for a non-resident STR owner, or his agent, to always respond within 30 minutes of guest misconduct.
- 3. It is unfair and unreasonable for residents to be required to notify the STR host, the police, and the zoning official each time there is guest misconduct.
- 4. There is little or no net benefit to the town, and increased risk, if non-hosted STRs are allowed in residential districts.
- 5. Enforcement of the STR regulations will be difficult, slow, and costly, especially on weekends.
- 6. An absentee STR owner will not care about the well being of our neighborhoods and its residents.
- 7. There are no legal obligations why STRs must be allowed.
- 8. It is fundamentally wrong to allow the commercial transient use of property, subject to the Connecticut Occupancy Tax, in residential districts.