



# TOWN OF LEDYARD

## Land Use/Planning/Public Works Committee

### Meeting Minutes

741 Colonel Ledyard Highway  
Ledyard, Connecticut 06339

Chairman Gary St. Vil

#### Regular Meeting

---

**Monday, January 5, 2026**

**6:00 PM**

**Town Hall Annex - Hybrid Format**

---

In -Person: Annex Meeting Room - Town Hall Annex Building

Remote Participation: Information Noted Below:

**Join Zoom Meeting from your Computer, Smart Phone or Tablet:**

**[https://ledyardct.zoom.us/j/88994079370?](https://ledyardct.zoom.us/j/88994079370?pwd=mCmT7v7a5cQTiQhGEx8wHsCfxZSqRA.1)**

**[pwd=mCmT7v7a5cQTiQhGEx8wHsCfxZSqRA.1](https://ledyardct.zoom.us/j/88994079370?pwd=mCmT7v7a5cQTiQhGEx8wHsCfxZSqRA.1)**

**Or by Audio Only: Telephone: +1 646 558 8656; Meeting ID: 889 9407 9370; Passcode: 754597**

#### I CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Councilor Thompson at 6:05 p.m. at the Town Hall Annex Building.

Councilor Thompson welcomed all to the Hybrid Meeting noting for the Town Council Land Use/Planning/Public Works Committee and members of the Public who were participating via video conference that the remote meeting information was available on the Agenda that was posted on the Town's Website - Granicus-Legistar Meeting Portal.

#### II. ROLL CALL

Meeting went into Recess

Meeting reconvened

**Present:** Jessica Buhle  
Ty Lamb  
James Thompson

In addition, the following were present:

Elizabeth Burdick Land Use Director/Town Planner  
Karen Parkinson Historic District Commission  
Bruce Garstka Agricultural Commission  
Eric Treaster Resident  
Roxanne Maher Administrative Assistant

### III. RESIDENTS & PROPERTY OWNERS COMMENTS

Mrs. Karen Parkinson, 55 Rose Hill Road, Ledyard, stated that she was a Land Owner, Farmer, Business Owner, Trail Builder, Historic District Commission Member, and an Amateur Preservationist. She questioned whether the Land Use/Planning/Public Works Committee received Dr. Ammie Chittim's January 2, 2026 letter that was addressed to Land Use Director/Town Planner Elizibeth Burdick regarding the Spicer Homestead Ruins. The LUPPW Committee Members noted that they received Dr. Chittm's letter today. Mrs. Parkinson proceeded to read the letter for the record as follows:

*January 2, 2026*

*Liz Berdick, Town Planner  
Town of Ledyard  
741 Colonel Ledyard Highway  
Ledyard, CT 06339*

*cc: Land Use Committee, Chairman*

*Dear Ms. Berdick,*

*I am writing in my capacity as The Town of Ledyard Historic Commission's Director of Archaeological Research and Preservation. I am also a Registered Professional Archaeologist (RPA) with more than 20 years of cultural preservation experience. This letter provides a brief statement regarding the historical and archaeological significance of the 4.4-acre parcel located at 1025 Colonel Ledyard Highway, known as the Spicer Homestead. This letter reflects my referral for the Spicer Homestead site to be submitted as part of the Town's review of historic archaeological properties for inclusion in the Connecticut Historic Resources Inventory.*

*The Spicer Homestead parcel meets the criteria for historical significance under Connecticut's historic preservation framework due to its direct association with early colonial settlement patterns, documented 18th-century land use, and its potential 17th-century occupation. Probate and land records identify a dwelling, orchard, and barn belonging to John Spicer (4th) on this property by 1769, and documentary evidence suggests the site may also represent the first homestead of Peter Spicer, established on land granted to him in 1693. The parcel contains intact archaeological features-including cellar holes, a possible center-chimney fall, a stone well, stone walls, and a stone-walled paddock-along with a glacial erratic known in Spicer family oral tradition as "Spicer's Rock." These elements collectively represent a well-preserved agrarian landscape with high research potential and strong integrity, consistent with the significance standards outlined by the Connecticut State Historic Preservation Office.*

*The attached maps illustrate the parcel boundaries that best encompass the surviving historic landscape and the original homestead foundation. Protecting this defined area will ensure the preservation of the core archaeological features*

*and their surrounding context, allowing the Town to safeguard the most meaningful and intact portion of the Spicer family's early farmstead.*

*Sincerely,  
Ammie M Chittim, Ph.D., R.P.A.  
Shewville Road, Ledyard  
Director of Research and Preservation  
Ledyard Historic Commission*

Mrs. Parkinson went on to state the reason she wanted to get Dr. Chittim's letter into the record was because there has been much discussion about 4.4 acres; noting that they did not just pick 4.4-acres out of their hat, stating that Ms. Chittim was very familiar with the property and that they based their acreage on her guidance.

Mrs. Parkinson stated that she has been working on this initiative for three-years and she expressed frustration that other town Commissions such as the Conservation Commission has been assigned Administrative Control of town-owned properties, and that Avalonia Land Conservancy has received lots of acres of property from the town, noting that recently they received 4.9-acres called the *Kettle Hole*; which had some historic significance. She stated that these properties were transferred to Avalonia Land Conservancy without them being required to obtain an A2 Survey or any of the other things they were asking the Historic District Commission to provide for the Spicer Homestead Ruins, stating that this troubled her.

Mrs. Parkinson continued by addressing the Historic District Commission's request for the assignment of the Administrative Control of the 4.4-acre Spicer Homestead Ruins, noting that even if they obtain a Historic Designation from the State, and even if it became a State Preserve, and even if it became a National Preserve, that the Town would still retain ownership of the 4.4-acre Spicer Homestead Ruins site that was located within the Clark Farm Property. She stated at any time the Town could take it back, demolish everything, and build a school there if they wanted to. She stated by assigning the Administrative Control of the Spicer Homestead Ruins to the Historic District Commission that the Town was not giving it away. She stated that the Historic District Commission does not have to have Administrative Control of the Spicer Homestead Ruins to go through the Historic Designation Process, noting that once they have completed the process that they would have Administrative Control of the area; like they do with the Nathan Lester House and the Up-Down Sawmill.

Mrs. Parkinson noted the debate regarding the A2 Survey and she stated that Connecticut General Statute Chapter 97 lays out all of the steps to do the study. She stated that it was all documented in an email from the State Historic Preservation Office Mr. Stefon Danczuk, noting the following:

- First Step - Town Council to appoint the Historic District Commission to do the Study to take to the State. Mrs. Parkinson stated that the A2 Survey was not needed for the Historic District Commission to conduct the Study, noting that all they needed

were the maps, which she had, because the boundaries needed to be movable as they worked through the process.

- Second Step - Submit Study/Application to the State Historic Preservation Commission for their review.
- Third Step - The Historic Designation Request would come back to the Town for the Planning and Zoning Commission to conduct a review.
- Fourth Step - Hold a Public Hearing and invite the property owners, which was the Town.
- Fifth Step - Update Ordinance #300-019 "*An Ordinance Establishing Historic District Boundaries And Establishing A Historic District Commission for the Town of Ledyard*" to include the new historic district (Spicer Homestead Ruins).
- Sixth Step - Conduct the A2 Survey - Mrs. Parkinson explained that they did not need the A2 Survey until the end of the process, when they file with the land records. She stated that this would all be done after they take it to the State Historic Preservation Commission because the State could make a suggestion, such as they wanted them to include this other little piece of stone wall, etc. She stated when they go back to the Planning and Zoning, Commission that they could say "No" and make changes. She stated because the boundaries were moveable until they get the process done, and therefore, it did not make sense to spend money on an A2 Survey Map until they were done, because, as they we go through this process any of the bodies involved could move the boundaries and; then they would have to do the A2 Survey all over again.

Mrs. Parkinson continued by addressing the Administrative Control of the Clark Farm. She stating that she has been working to build the Tri-Town Trail since 2018, which goes through the Clark Farm (1025 Colonel Ledyard Highway) and the State property (1087 Colonel Ledyard Highway). She stated as a Farmer that she could tell them that those properties have been overcome with invasives, because the land was not being cared for. She stated that these properties should be under the Administrative Control of the Agricultural Commission, because they could write grants to make improvements and to maintain and preserve the farmland.

Land Use Director/Town Planner Elizabeth Burdick addressed Mrs. Parkinson's comments regarding the conveyance of town-owned land to Avalonia Land Conservancy, specifically the *Kettle Hole* property. She explained that Mr. Steve Marion of Star Surveying conducted an A2 Survey of the property and that the Planning & Zoning Commission conducted an 8-24 Review and provided an 8-24 Recommendation regarding the conveyance of the 4.9-acre parcel located at 19 Avery Hill Road Extension; known as *the Kettle Ho,le* to Avalonia Land Conservancy to the Town Council. She stated the Town Council scheduled a Public Hearing and a Special Town Meeting which were held on November 12, 2025 for the townspeople to vote on the conveyance of the property. She stated that Avalonia Land Conservancy paid for all of the conveyance fees and other fees associated with the conveyance of the property which included a A2 Survey. She went onto explain that

one of the reasons Avalonia wanted to obtain the *Kettle Hole* property was because the adjacent property was owned by Avalonia Land Conservancy President Dennis Main's family, and he was donating/transferring a good portion of that property to Avalonia Land Conservancy. She stated across the street was the Pfizer property which Avalonia Land Conservancy recently acquired and that they plan to obtain approval from the State Department of Transportation (DOT) to put in a crosswalk at that location. She stated the hope was to obtain an easement through the Lowley Property for a connection to Tri-Town Trail. Therefore, she stated that the Avalonia Land Conservancy went through the proper process

Ms. Burdick went on to explain that Avalonia Land Conservancy was currently in the process of working with the Ledyard Water Pollution Control Authority (WCA) to blaze a trail that would eventually go from the Pfizer Property and connect to the Tri-Town Trail, noting that Avalonia owned a piece of property across the street to Stoddards Wharf Road which was next to the Kettle Hole Property and that they were hoping to bring the trail all the way to Route 12. She stated that Avalonia Land Conservancy was also hoping to acquire the Glacier Park property to eventually connect Tri-Town Trail, noting that this was a huge trail system; and that Avalonia Land Conservancy were good stewards of the land.

Ms. Burdick addressed Mrs. Parkinson's comments regarding the 4.4-acre area for the Spicer Homestead Ruins. She stated although she was not working for Ledyard at the time, that the Mayor told her that the Town Council authorized the use of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funding to conduct the A2 Survey for 1-acre of the Spicer Homestead Ruins, which Surveyors Dieter and Gardner did. She went on to explain for the Planning & Zoning Commission to conduct an 8-24 Referral that they were going to need to see a map, that did not have moving boundaries.

Councilor Buhle addressed the A2 Survey for the Spicer Homestead Ruins. She explained because it was believed that the A2 Survey was needed to move forward with the Historic Designation process the Town Council authorized the use about \$2,500 of the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funding to conduct the A2 Survey for 1-acre of the Spicer Homestead Ruins, because the base of the Ruins was 0.7 acres. Mrs. Parkinson stated that the Historic District Commission did not need to have the A2 Survey to proceed with the State Historic Designation Application. She stated the Town Council, as the Legislative Body, not as the Property Owner, needed to appoint the Historic District Commission to conduct a Study of the Spicer Homestead Ruins.

**Mr. Bruce Garstka**, 10 Pleasant View, Ledyard, noted the Informal Meeting Councilor Lamb held at the former Ledyard Center School during which a comment was made that Ledyard needed commercial development to help reduce the tax impact on homeowners. He stated that Ledyard had some North-South Roads (Route 12; Route 117; Colonel Ledyard Highway. However, he stated to travel East-West they basically had Route 214, noting that it was a winding road and it was not safe. He stated if the Mohegan Tribe develops the former Norwich State Hospital Property,

there could potentially be commercial development past for former Pfizer Property, which would be centrally located between the two-entities. He suggested the Town meet with their State Representatives to discussed straightening out Route 214; because it would benefit Ledyard in the long term, and to ask if they could get this on the State Department of Transportation's (DOT) Plan.

Mr. Gartska continued by questioning whether the town has approached landowners about purchasing their land to develop a Commercial/Industrial Park, noting that there were some areas that could benefit the town.

**Mr. Eric Treaster**, 10 Huntington Way, Ledyard, stated that he would like to wish everyone a Happy New Year; and hoped they had a wonder 2026!

Councilor Thompson thanked the Residents their comments.

#### IV. PRESENTATIONS / INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

1. Councilor Lamb email dated 12/15/2025 re: Comprehensive Town-wide Capital Improvement Plan
2. Councilor Lamb email dated 12/18/2025 re: Spicer Homestead Ruins

Councilor Thompson noted that Councilor Lamb provided the following two emails which were attached the Agenda on the Meeting Portal.

1. Councilor Lamb email dated 12/15/2025 re: Comprehensive Town-wide Capital Improvement Plan

Councilor Lamb stated during the time he served on the Board of Education that he tried to lay out a normal Capital Plan. However, he stated that the Board of Education voted it down because they were more focused on funding their Operational Budget. Therefore, he stated that the Board of Education prepared a Capital Improvement Plan which consisted of what they were going to do this year; noting that it was his opinion that what they put together for the rest of their Projects were bogus. He noted in their Fiscal Year 2026/2027 Draft Budget the Board of Education included \$600,000 for the Middle School; and they included \$49 million for the following year. He questioned their strategy; he questioned whether they were going to invest \$49 million in the High School next year; and then tear down the High School. He questioned whether the Land Use/Planning/Public Works Committee Members thought that it was the Town Council's responsibility to develop a Time-Phase Long-Term Plan for each of the town's facilities; and a Grand Strategy/Vision that they could all work toward over the next fifty-years; so that if they were/ or were not planning to build a new High School that everyone in town would know the plan, the goals, and the expectations.

Both Councilor Thompson and Councilor Buhle agreed that they should have a Capital Improvement Plan for each of the town's facilities, however, they also stated that the Plan had to be realistic. It was noted that although they may have a Plan that unexpected repairs and expenses may come up along the way, that were often times pressing; causing things on the Long-Term Plan to get kicked down the road. Councilor Lamb stated as elected officials that it was their job to tell the residents what it was going to cost to keep the facilities in their current condition with a realistic Strategic Plan. He stated if they decided that they could not afford to pay for those things, that they would then be making a conscious choice to kick those items on the Plan down the road.

Councilor Buhle stated that she supported developing a Long-Term Capital Plan and Strategy. She went on to note that when the Board of Education has a budget surplus those funds were transferred to their Capital Account. She stated it would be helpful to have a Capital Plan so there would be accountability for what the next project was on the List. She went on to state that every time the Board of Education has a budget surplus that the taxpayers overpaid, because the money was not used to pay for services for their students. Therefore, she stated the Board of Education's budget surplus needed to be used for something that improved their students education.

Councilor Buhle stated because funding was the key component for a Capital Improvement Plan that the Finance Committee needed to be involved, noting without funding the Capital Plan was just a wish. She stated that she could include a discussion to develop a Long-Term Capital Improvement Plan on the February 4, 2026 Joint Finance Committee Meeting with the Board of Education. Councilor Lamb stated that the Land Use/Planning/Public Works Committee should be the Committee that was looking at all of the town facilities and that they should be keeping quad charts of what their statuses were. He stated that Board of Education Director of Facilities and Grounds Wayne Donalson and Public Works Director/Town Engineer Steve Masalin were doing a good job at giving them the information and their priorities. However, he stated that they needed a time-phased plan.

Councilor Lamb asked Councilor Thompson the plan going forward for the LUPPW Committee to keep their eye on all of the town's properties and the future of the properties. Councilor Thompson stated that he agreed that the LUPPW Committee should be involved with the Long-Term Capital Plan; and he questioned whether they could work with the Finance Committee and the Board of Education.

1. Councilor Lamb email dated 12/18/2025 re: Spicer Homestead Ruins

Councilor Lamb noted Old Business Item #2 on tonight's Agenda, stating that he wanted to forward his December 15, 2025 exchange with Historic District Commissioner Karen Parkinson to the LUPPW Committee. He stated the former Land Use/Planning/Public Works Committee (letter dated August 28, 2025) felt the Historic District Commission was already authorized to serve as a ***Historic District***

*Study Committee* and that the Commission had the ability to take on tasks to investigate the feasibility of preserving historic properties, such as the Spicer Homestead Ruins, noting in their letter that Ordinance #300-019 “*An Ordinance Establishing Historic District Boundaries And Establishing A Historic District Commission for the Town of Ledyard*” cited CGS Section 7-147c “***The historic district commission may from time to time, by following the procedure for creation of an historic district provided for in section 7-14b, suggest that a historic district be enlarged or that additional districts be created***”.

Councilor Lamb went on to state that if this LUPPW Committee would agree to the language he was going to propose this evening; and if the Town Council would take a 2-second vote to give the Historic District Commission permission to be the lead on the initiative to seek an historic designation of the Spicer Homestead Ruins, that the Historic District Commission would feel that they had the documentation needed to provide to the State. Therefore, he thought why not just give them the permission, noting that he did not understand the argument between that they already had the permission, verses giving them permission needed. He proceeded by noting the Motion below that the LUPPW Committee could pass forward to the Town Council:

*MOTION to appoint the Ledyard Historic District Commission to conduct a study of the proposed Spicer Homestead Ruins and follow all steps in accordance with Connecticut General Statutes; Chapter 7a; Section 7-147 (q) “Procedures for Establishing a Historical Property”.*

**RESULT:** RECEIVED AND FILED

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- MOTION to approve the Land Use/Planning/Public Works Minutes as follows:
- Organizational Meeting Minutes of December 8, 2025
- Special Meeting Minutes of December 8, 2025

Moved by Buhle, seconded by Councilor Lamb

**VOTE: 3 - 0 Approved and so declared**

VI. OLD BUSINESS

1. Continued discussion regarding the progress of enforcing regulations to address blight issues.

Councilor Thompson noted that Zoning Official Hannha Gienau provided the Blight Report dated January 5, 2025 for tonight’s meeting. He noted that the Land Use Department current had 14 Blight Issues that were being resolved and 6 properties that were being monitored



- **528 Colonel Ledyard Highway** - Land Use Director/Town Planner Elizabeth Burdick noted that Councilor Lamb asked that she provide an update regarding 528 Colonel Ledyard Highway. She stated the successful Bidder at the Public Auction has taken the Tile to the property. She stated that new owner has already done some work to clean up the property.
- **Non-Permitted Signs** - Councilor Buhle questioned the progress in removing the many signs around town that were not permitted. Ms. Burdick stated that Building Official Seumas Quinn and Zoning Official Hannha Gienau have been picking up the illegal signs. However, she stated people put the signs up as quickly as the town takes them down. She stated that the Land Use Department would typically call the local businesses and let them know they could pick their signs up at their Office.

**RESULT: CONTINUE**

**2. Spicer Homestead Ruins - Historical Research and Photos.**

MOTION to recommend the Town Council appoint the Ledyard Historic District Commission to conduct a study of the proposed Spicer Homestead Ruins and follow all steps in accordance with Connecticut General Statutes; Chapter 7a; Section 7-147 (q) *“Procedures for Establishing a Historical Property”*.

Moved by Councilor Lamb, seconded by Councilor Buhle

Discussion: Councilor Lamb noted that he believed everyone was supportive of seeking a Historic Designation of the Spicer Homestead Ruins. He stated with the Town Council approving this Motion that it would allow the Historic District Commission to get all the Steps in place. He stated the only tidbit was to have a discussion with the Town Council and the Mayor about what the appropriate space should be for the Spicer Homestead Ruins. However, he stated that discussion did not need to happen right-a-way. He stated that he and members of the Historic District Commission, as well as members of the Town Council and Director of Land Use/Town Planner Elizabeth Burdick could meet with the Mayor to lay out their Plan. He stated that he thought that he could easily adjudicate the space that should be there, noting the space would not be locked-in because they would have to go through the process to eventually get to where they wanted to be. He stated the Historic District Commission could give a presentation to the decision makers. (see above - IV. Presentations/Informational Items)

Land Use Director/Town Planner Elizabeth Burdick stated that the Land Use Department Staff was small; and therefore, the Historic Designation of the Spicer Homestead Ruins was not a Project that she could take on, noting that she just picked-up supporting the Sustainable CT Ad Hoc Committee. She stated that there were Consultants that do this type of work with Historic Districts that they could hire. She suggested the Historic District Commission create a Flow Chart with all the Steps so everyone (Historic District Commission, LUPPW Committee, Town Council, etc.), was looking at the same thing, noting that a Consultant could probably help them with the flow chart as well.

Historic District Commission Member Karen Parkinson stated in 2022 the Tri-Town Trail Association applied for and received a \$3,000 Grant that they used to conduct the Study of the Spicer Homestead Ruins Property, noting that work was already done.

Councilor Lamb asked Councilor Thompson to talk with Town Council Chairman St. Vill and Mayor Allyn, III to find out who he needed to give the Presentation too.

**VOTE: 3- 0 Approved and so declared**

**RESULT: CONTINUE**

3. Review and discuss the Agricultural Commission's proposed List of Criteria for the Leasing of Town-Owned Land such as Clark Farm and former Norwich State Hospital Property to encourage the properties continue to be used for Agricultural purposes.

Councilor Thompson questioned whether everyone reviewed the List noted below that the Agricultural Commission presented at the LUPPW Committee's December 8, 2025 meeting and whether anyone had questions.

1. The land shall be used for the production of hay only.
2. Any change of crop must be approved beforehand in writing.
3. The fields must be mowed at least yearly with a mowing height not to exceed eight inches.
4. Any harvested hay may be stored on site at a location chosen by the Town.
5. No harvested hay may be stored over one year, and all harvested hay must be removed at end of the lease.
6. All necessary insurance is to be in effect for the term of the lease.
7. A five-year renewable lease is preferred.
8. Lessee must have a proven track record.
9. Lessee must have a means to fulfill lease terms.
10. References must be provided.
11. Fields shall be limed, fertilized, and sprayed for weeds using approved agricultural methods and standards.
12. The fee is to be determined.

Councilor Lamb noted that he believed that the LUPPW Committee agreed with the Agricultural Commission's suggestion. He questioned how they incorporated these provisions into the Clark Farm Lease and the Request for Proposal Process.

Councilor Buhle expressed concern regarding Item #1 noting that it was too restrictive for others who may want to farm a different type crop. She suggested Item #1 read as follows: *"The land shall be used for Agricultural production. The Crop must be approved before hand in writing"*.

Land Use Director/Town Planner Elizabeth Burdick noted that former the Norwich State Hospital Property had Deed Restrictions. She stated that the Property could only be used for Agricultural Proposes, noting that she has not read the Deed in sometime; and therefore, she suggested if they were looking to lease the Norwich State Hospital that they review the Deed.

Councilor Thompson stated the reason they were looking to grow hay on the Clark Farm Property was because it was non-invasive, good for the soil, and had a minimum impact. Agricultural Commission Chairman Bruce Gartska stated the hay was low impact to the ground. Therefore, he stated that they would have to be careful if they used the property for crops that required them to plow the land. He stated the Agricultural Commission's goal was to keep the Clark Farm from going fallow and letting the invasive species take over. He also stated that hay was a product that could easily be sold. He stated in the past the Clark Farm was used to grow corn and pumpkins. He stated that growing hay was very low impact and reduced the liability for the town.

Councilor Buhle asked Administrative Assistant Roxanne Maher whether the LUPPW Committee would be amending the Clark Farm Lease. Ms. Maher explained that the LUPPW Committee could draft a boiler plate Lease using the current Clark Farm lease as a starting point and incorporate the criteria that the Agricultural Commission has suggested. She stated once they had a draft Lease that they could forward it to the Town Council for their approval in preparation for soliciting Request for Proposals (RFP). She note that the current Lessee Mr. Majcher's Lease would be ending in March, 2026, and that he was not interesting in continuing to lease the Clark Farm. She stated that the Mayor's Office would be responsible for advertising/soliciting Requests for Proposals to lease the Clark Farm Property. She also explained that to lease town-owned land that a Public Hearing and Special Town Meeting would be required:

- ***Connecticut State Statutes Sec. 7-163e.***

***Public hearing on the sale, lease or transfer of real property owned by a municipality. (a) The legislative body of a municipality, or in any municipality where the legislative body is a town meeting or representative town meeting, the board of selectmen, shall conduct a public hearing on the sale, lease or transfer of real property owned by the municipality prior to final approval of such sale, lease or transfer."***

- ***Chapter VII; Section 9 of the Town Charter:***

***".....and any sale or purchase of real estate or interest therein shall become effective only after it has been approved by the Town Council and adopted at a Town meeting by a majority vote of the qualified voters present and voting at such meeting"*** .

The LUPPW Committee agreed to draft a boiler plate Lease for the Clark Farm incorporating the criteria provided by Agricultural Commission.

**RESULT:** CONTINUE

1. Any Old Business proper to come before the Committee.  
None.

**VII. NEW BUSINESS**

1. Any New Business proper to come before the Committee  
None.

**IV ADJOURNMENT**

Councilor Buhle moved the meeting be adjourned, seconded by Councilor Lamb

VOTE: 3- 0 Approved and so declared, the meeting was adjourned at 7:10

p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James Thompson  
Committee Chairman  
Land Use/Planning/Public Works Committee

DISCLAIMER: Although we try to be timely and accurate these are not official records of the Town.