

## Roxanne Maher

---

**From:** George Hosey <ghosey924@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 19, 2024 8:30 AM  
**To:** Carmen Garcia Irizarry  
**Cc:** Town Council Group; Anthony Favry; garyjonschneider@comcast.net; Fred Allyn, III  
**Subject:** Re: 100-015

Thank you

GH

On Monday, September 16, 2024, Carmen Garcia Irizarry <[CGIri@ledyardct.org](mailto:CGIri@ledyardct.org)> wrote:

Hi Mr. Hosey,

Thank you very much for your email. I appreciate your input and we will address your questions at the next Town Council meeting where we will be discussing the ordinance.

Respectfully,  
Carmen N. Garcia-Irizarry  
Ledyard Town Councilor  
Administration Committee Chair

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 16, 2024, at 4:01 PM, [ghosey924@gmail.com](mailto:ghosey924@gmail.com) wrote:

Hi all,

At the recent Admin Committee meeting, Council Chair Rodriguez, with my permission, read an email to the Committee.

Although, as Chair Rodriguez stated, I am currently a member of the PMBC, the opinions expressed in that email, as well as this one, are my own, and do not necessarily reflect the views or opinions of my fellow PMBC members.

I had written that email in the hopes that it would generate discussion and resolution of several questions regarding the proposed revision to Ordinance 100-015 governing the PMBC. I was, thus, surprised, when it, instead, spurred a 5-minute effort by the committee to (incorrectly) analyze my motivations for writing said email. While I have absolutely no idea how my motivations for emailing impact the content of the ordinance, I thought I would write to clarify.

While there was discussion of last year's situation in which a bid waiver was denied by the Town Council, that has absolutely nothing to do with my email of last week. My motivations are quite simple. As someone who lives and volunteers in Ledyard, I want to see the town enact clear, intelligent ordinances that benefit the town. To that end, I see that a more recent version of the proposed ordinance revision discusses the Town Council rejecting the PMBC recommendation and referring it back to PMBC, instead of the previous wording that simply allowed TC to choose a different vendor. The new wording is in line with what I was requesting. Thank you for that.

Unfortunately, other questions remained unaddressed.

- Who is the “firing authority” for an owner’s rep? In the unlikely event an owner’s rep performs or behaves in a manner warranting termination of their employment with the town, who makes that decision – the PMBC, the Town Council, or the Mayor?
- What is the basis of the \$500k number? Why not \$250k, \$1M, \$2M? What is the statistical or historical significance of \$500k?
- The proposed revision defines a quorum as a majority of regular members. This is fine. It also states that temporary members may vote only on matters concerning the project for which they have been appointed. This is also fine. However, the ordinance leaves unanswered whether a quorum of regular members can vote on matters concerning temporary projects, such as schools, if neither of the temporary members appointed for that project is present. Is it the intention of the Council that regular members may vote on questions concerning the temporary projects without a temporary member

present? If so, this section is also fine as-is. If not, then this section requires additional verbiage.

Thank you for your time and attention.

George Hosey

Ledyard Resident

**Disclaimer**

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast, a leader in email security and cyber resilience. Mimecast integrates email defenses with brand protection, security awareness training, web security, compliance and other essential capabilities. Mimecast helps protect large and small organizations from malicious activity, human error and technology failure; and to lead the movement toward building a more resilient world. To find out more, visit our website.