

Harned Clarification for the Record in Response to a Question from Attorney Heller

During my presentation to PZC on 10/24/24 (Zoom recording, time stamp ~19:44), I stated:

“For those of you who don't know me, my presentation will be long this evening. And the reason for that is that I have, like I've done before in some of these hearings, have volunteered to be a mouthpiece for many, so, every five minutes that clicks by, just keep in mind that the fact that I'm speaking means that somebody else won't be speaking. So that includes people from my old neighborhood, people from our community organization, and also some other friends from town.”

I also stated on the record that I am not an attorney, and I did not claim to be an “expert”. Nonetheless, Attorney Heller was allowed to “cross examine” me that evening after I completed my presentation. This was his first question (Zoom recording, time stamp ~20:58:45):

“Mr. Harned, you testified that you no longer live in the town of Ledyard and that you are representing other people in this proceeding. Can you identify who you're representing in this proceeding?”

That question (echoed in exhibit #195) was a mischaracterization of my above-quoted testimony.

My use of the term “*a mouthpiece for many*” did not mean that I was “representing” any individual, group, or other entity. My intended message to the PZC on October 24 was clear—that my presentation, although long, would result in an efficient use of everyone’s time. I acted only as an informal spokesperson that evening for the following people:

- Bruce Edwards
- Deb Edwards
- Carlo Porazzi

All others for whom I acted as an informal spokesperson denied my request to share their names, citing concerns about exposure to GFI and their attorney.

Respectfully,

Dave Harned