

Wood

TOWN OF LEDYARD

741 Colonel Ledyard Highway Ledyard, Connecticut 06339

Planning & Zoning Commission **Meeting Minutes - Final**

Special Meeting

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Marty

6:00 PM

Ledyard Middle School - 1860 CT-12, Gales Ferry, CT 06335 (aka 1854 **CT-12**)

I. CALL TO ORDER

> Chairman Wood called the meeting to order at 6:03 p.m. at the Ledyard Middle School and on Zoom.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE II.

ROLL CALL AND APPOINTMENT OF ALTERNATES III.

> Chairman Marcelle Wood Present

> > Secretary Howard Craig

Alternate Member Matthew Miello

Commissioner Beth E. Ribe

Alternate Member James Harwood

Vice Chair Paul Whitescarver Recused

In addition, the following were present:

Director of Land Use & Planning, Elizabeth Burdick Land Use Attorney, Matthew Willis Zoning Enforcement Official, Hannah Gienau Land Use Assistant, Anna Wynn

Chairman Wood noted for the record that Ms. Rhonda Spaziani has been appointed to the Planning & Zoning Commission as an Alternate Member but will not present or participating in this evening's meeting.

Chairman Wood noted for the record that Alternate Member James Hardwood will be seated for Vice Chair Paul Whitescarver who is recused from application PZ#24-8SUP & PZ#24-9CAM.

IV. **OLD BUSINESS**

Α. Discussion & Decision: PZ#24-8SUP & PZ#24-9CAM - 1737 and 1761 Connecticut Route 12 (Parcel IDs: 76-2120-1737 & 61-2120-1761), Gales Ferry, CT - Agent, Harry Heller, Esq., Heller, Heller & McCoy - Applicant/Owner, Gales Ferry Intermodal, LLC for Special Use Permit/Site Plan Approval and Coastal Site Plan Review to modify an existing mixed-use (commercial/industrial) development for the addition of an Excavation Operation, Major. (Submitted 07/9/24, Date of Receipt 7/11/24, PH must open by 9/13/24, PH set for 9-12-24, PH must close by 10-16-24, PH Cont. to 9/26/24, PH Cont. to 10/10/24, PH Cont. to 10/24/24, PH Cont. to 11/14/24, PH Cont. to 11/21/24, PH Cont. to 11/21/24, PH Cont. to 12/19/24, Cont. to 1/23/25, 65 Day Ext. Granted, PH must close by 12/20/24, DRD 65-days from close PH). **TABLED TO 1/23/2025 MEETING**

Each member of the Commission acknowledged they had been present for or watched the video recording for each meeting pertaining to application PZ#24-8SUP & PZ#24-9CAM as well as read all related exhibits.

Attorney Willis stated that at the December 19, 2024 Special Meeting, Attorney Heller of Heller, Heller & McCoy, representing the applicant, had entered into the record a number of possiblw issues concerning the application. He stated that the issues that were raised at that meeting concerned bias, pretermination or conflict of interest that would be personal of in the financial capacity. He additionally stated that each Commissioner would need to verify if they had partaken in any ex parte conversation concerning the application. Attorney Willis asked that each member of the Commission verify if they have any of these ethical issues concerning their decision making.

Each member of the Commission stated that they do not have any of the above-mentioned issues and are able to make objective decisions concerning the application.

Attorney Willis stated that the Commission should start the discussion process by looking at all the pertinent zoning regulations for the application. He suggested that specifically the Commission start with zoning regulations on Excavation Major. Director Burdick concurred.

Chairman Wood stated that he thought the Commission would begin with the Zoning Regulations related to special permit requirements. Staff and the Commission reviewed and read Zoning Regulation 11.3.1,11.3.3, 11.3.4 and 11.3.5.

Chairman Wood asked is any of the Commissioners had questions or comments on item d of Zoning Regulation 11.3.5. They said no.

Chairman Wood and Attorney Willis clarified if the Commission can condition the remediation of capped portion of the property. Attorney Willis responded that the process in which capped areas are handled are under the jurisdiction of DEEP.

Commissioner Ribe and Director Burdick clarified that remediation of the land was performed under the ownership of Dow Chemical. She stated that she could gather more information about the status of the remediated land for the Commission to review at their next meeting.

Chairman Wood asked why the owner of the property decided that stone removal was more cost effective than remediating the contaminated portion of the land.

Chairman Wood asked that Attorney Willis talk about excavation as a permitted use. Staff

and the Commission reviewed Zoning Regulation 8.16.d2.

Commissioner Harwood asked for clarification on the definition of excavation major and quarrying. He stated that the difference for him is the blasting required to remove bedrock from the site.

Commissioner Ribe commented that she struggles to understand the processing of the use of the granite. She asked if that is the industrial process under the industrial district definition. Director Burdick stated that the use chart in the Ledyard Zoning Regulations states that excavation is considered a principle use even if there was nothing else on the site with a special permit.

Chairman Wood and Commissioner Ribe discussed the language used to define excavation. Chairman Wood commented that he would like to define the length of time behind the word temporary.

Commissioner Ribe and Chairman Wood discussed the meaning of temporary and why the applicant would have to come back every three years to renew their permit. Attorney Willis commented that Connecticut General Statues require an applicant come back every five years to renew a special permit and that the Ledyard Zoning Regulations may not accurately reflect the most up to date requirements.

Commissioner Miello commented that in Zoning Regulation 7.10.a.a, it talks about stone crushing and portable sawmills. He asked if because of the language used in this regulation, if the applicant would have to come back every six months for renewal. He asked if this application a separate permit or if it would be under the special use permit. He stated that 7.10.1. Chairman Wood and Commissioner Miello discussed the applicability of the regulation.

Director Burdick commented that regulation 7.10 is more geared towards construction to build a new single-family home on a subdivision lot. She suggested that the Commission read though zoning regulation 8.16 because it may answer sone of their questions about processing rock.

Commissioner Ribe and Director Burdick clarified what kind of blasting is done in smaller projects like new single-family homes and discussed the connection between stone crushing and excavation.

The Commission discussed various ideas and understandings on the zoning regulations and their applicability towards each other. The Commission discussed their concerns with the end goal of the application. Director Burdick restated that under permitted uses excavation is defined as a primary use even if there is no other uses on the parcel.

Commissioner Craig commented that the applicant has a burden to prove that the site will have future uses after excavation. Director Burdick stated there are multiple documents in the record that may shed some light on that question, and she will obtain them for the

Commission's next meeting. The Commissioner continued to discuss some of their concerns on this matter.

Commissioner Ribe and Director Burdick discussed the representations made for future developments on the parcel. Director Burdick commented that the uses that would be permitted there would be industrial either by right or by special use permit. She stated that in fact all uses would be special use permits because the parcel is mixed use zoned.

Commissioner Harwood commented on the cost effectiveness of excavation verse utilizing the remediated land. Commission Ribe commented that it is the right of the applicant to use their land. Staff said they would see what information they could gather on the matter.

Chairman Wood stated that at about 8:15 he would like staff and the Commission to review their overall discussion this evening to figure out the best way forward for the next meeting.

Chairman Wood introduced the topic of vibration related to the application. The Commissioners expressed their concerns about potential vibration from excavation leaving the property. The discussed how vibration pertains to the Ledyard Zoning Regulations and the CT State Statues.

Commissioner Ribe introduced the topic of traffic related to the application and expressed her concerns. Chairman wood commented and also expressed his concerns about traffic impacts from the application.

Chairman Wood introduced the topic of dust and dust mitigation. The Commissioners expressed their concerns about dust related to the application. The discussed several documents on the record that deal with this matter.

The Commission discussed their concerns with sound generated from the site. They also discussed the impact of blasting on residents with PTSD.

They discussed their concerns with the procedures of Maine Drilling & Blasting in terms of documenting any potential damage to abutting homes.

The Commissioner discussed their concerns with property values related to the application.

Staff and the Commission discussed that if this application were to be approved, what would the process look like in terms of the town being able to moderate the project and ensure it complies with the conditions of approval and zoning regulations. Director Burdick stated that for a project this big, the town would probably have to hire an outside expert specifically to moderate this project.

The Commission and staff discussed where town funds would be sourced from to pay for an outside expert. Director Burdick stated she would have to do more investigation into how the town would afford an outside expert to moderate the project.

Chairman Wood stated that he would like the Commission to meet weekly to discuss the application before its time to make a decision. He requested if possible that the Commission meet in the Town Hall Annex instead of the Middle School because it is more comfortable and easier for them to discuss. Director Burdick stated that she would see what arrangements she could make just in case they exceed capacity for the Annex Council Chambers.

Chairman Wood stated that he would not want the meetings to go more than two or two and a half hours each.

MOTION to schedule the next PZC Special Meeting to January 30, 2025 in the Town Hall Annex contingent upon availability

RESULT: 5-0 APPROVED AND SO DECLARED

MOVER: Howard Craig

SECONDER: James Harwood

The Commissioner and staff clarified what information will be provided by the next meeting for the Commissioner's to review. The Commission and staff discussed the best way to delegate the information of the application into manageable pieces for the Commission to review.

Director Burdick stated that she has already started a memo laying out each phase of the application.

MOTION to table PZ#24-8SUP &PZ#24-9CAM to the PZC Special Meeting of January 30, 2025 at 6:00pm in the Town Hall Annex and on Zoom

RESULT: TABLED
MOVER: Beth E. Ribe
SECONDER: James Harwood

AYE: 5 Wood, Craig, Miello, Ribe, and Harwood

RECUSED: 1 Whitescarver

V. ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner moved the meeting to adjourned, seconded by Commissioner Harwood

The meeting adjourned at 8:08 p.m. VOTE: 5-0 Approved and so declared

Respectively Submitted,

Secretary Howard Craig
Planning & Zoning Commission

DISCLAIMER: Although we try to be timely and accurate these are not official records of the Town.