

Roxanne Maher

From: Carlo M Porazzi <porazzicm@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 2:43 PM
To: Elizabeth Burdick
Cc: Town Council Group; Alex Samalot
Subject: PZ#24-8SUP & PZ#24-9CAM & IWWC

Some people who received this message don't often get email from porazzicm@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Ms. Burdick,

I hope this email finds you well.

I write to you today on the matter of the GFI application referenced in the title. The applicant's attorney in his third submission letter dated July 8, 2024 states in reference point #9 that the application received approval from the IWWC on July 11, 2023. Therefore the applicant's attorney believes that this step is no longer required with the current submission. I disagree on the following points:

- 1) The previous two applications were withdrawn. Each one being submitted as a new modified version of the previous. As such, each application should be treated as a new submission requiring the same steps and procedures as a new application with regulatory implications
- 2) Each new version of the application submitted has been modified to cater to some of the deficiencies the townspeople have called out. Therefore, each previous application is NOT the same as before and should be treated as a new submission
- 3) I was informed by your office earlier this year that any exhibits/artifacts/communications submitted for the previous applications and public hearings **WOULD NOT BE CARRIED** over for

this new submission. In my opinion, if the attorney seeks a waiver of the IWWC review under the process of previous approval in order to carry this application forward then the same weight should be given to the submissions from before. Nevertheless, your office should find by reviewing the current application versus the previous that enough changes/modifications have been made that they should require the IWWC review again.

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully submitted,
Carlo Porazzi
30 Chapman Lane
Gales Ferry, CT. 06335